

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/937,399	MCGARIAN ET AL.
	Examiner Jennifer H Gay	Art Unit 3672

All Participants:

(1) Jennifer H Gay.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Adesh Bhargava.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The reference to claims in the second full paragraph on page 2 of the specification.

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

J.H. Gay
Patent Examiner
AU 3672

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's attorney to inform him that references to the claims in the second full paragraph of page 2 of the specificaiton needed to be removed. It was agreed to change that paragraph to "The present invention provides a whipstock casing milling system and a method of using the system." An examiner's amendment to follow..